## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/763,411	WILENSKY, CRAIG A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel St.Cyr	2876	
	10/763,411 Examiner	10/763,411 WILENSKY, CRAIG Examiner Art Unit

	Daniel St.Cyr	2876		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) $\stackrel{.}{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount o hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.16-21.23 and 24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		<b>,</b>		
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but  The prior art of record meets the claims' language.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				

Continuation of 13. Other: The claims remain rejected as set forth in the final office action filed 10/19/07. In some instance, the applicant's arguments are more comprehensive than the claims' language. For instance, the applicant argued that the apparatus can tiltably move after placement in the shopping cart. Such limitation is not the claims. The prior art of record meets the claims' language as currently present in the claims.